


*Europ. J. Combinatorics* (2002) **23**, 15–22

doi:10.1006/eujc.2000.0470

Available online at <http://www.idealibrary.com> on  IDEAL<sup>®</sup>

## On a Problem Concerning the Weight Functions

GIAMPIERO CHIASELOTTI<sup>†</sup>

Let  $X$  be a finite set with  $n$  elements. A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\sum_{x \in X} f(x) \geq 0$  is called a  $n$ -weight function. In 1988 Manickam and Singhi conjectured that, if  $d$  is a positive integer and  $f$  is a  $n$ -weight function with  $n \geq 4d$  there exist at least  $\binom{n-1}{d-1}$  subsets  $Y$  of  $X$  with  $|Y| = d$  for which  $\sum_{y \in Y} f(y) \geq 0$ . In this paper we study this conjecture and we show that it is true if  $f$  is a  $n$ -weight function and  $|\{x \in X : f(x) \geq 0\}| \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

© 2002 Academic Press

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and let  $I_n$  be the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

A function  $f : I_n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called a  $n$ -weight function if

$$\sum_{x \in I_n} f(x) \geq 0.$$

We introduce some useful notation that we shall use in the remainder of this paper.

$W_n(\mathbf{R})$  will denote the set of all  $n$ -weight functions and if  $f \in W_n(\mathbf{R})$  we define

$$f^+ = |\{x \in I_n : f(x) \geq 0\}|.$$

If  $d$  is an integer with  $1 \leq d \leq n$  and  $Y$  is a subset of  $I_n$  having  $d$  elements such that

$$\sum_{y \in Y} f(y) \geq 0,$$

we call  $Y$  a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ .

If  $f \in W_n(\mathbf{R})$  and  $d, r$  are two fixed integers with  $1 \leq d, r \leq n$ , we shall denote by  $\phi(f, d)$  the number of distinct  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $f$ ,

i.e.,

$$\phi(f, d) = \left| \left\{ Y \subseteq I_n : |Y| = d \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{y \in Y} f(y) \geq 0 \right\} \right|;$$

moreover we shall set

$$\psi(n, d) = \min\{\phi(f, d) : f \in W_n(\mathbf{R})\}$$

and

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \min\{\phi(f, d) : f \in W_n(\mathbf{R}), f^+ = r\}.$$

For any subset  $Y$  of  $I_n$ , we set

$$S_f(Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(y);$$

in particular, if  $Y$  is a singleton  $\{y\}$ , it is clear that  $S_f(Y) = f(y)$ .

<sup>†</sup>Address for correspondence: Giampiero Chiaselotti, Via S. Francesco 10, 87018 San Marco Argentano (Cosenza), Italy. E-mail: [g.chiaselotti@virgilio.it](mailto:g.chiaselotti@virgilio.it)

Observe that, for every finite set  $X$  with  $n$  elements, a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\sum_{x \in X} f(x) \geq 0$  can be considered a  $n$ -weight function, since  $X$  can be identified by  $I_n$  using a suitable bijection.

Finally, we call each  $x \in I_n$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  a *non-negative element* for  $f$  and each  $x \in I_n$  with  $f(x) < 0$  a *negative element* for  $f$ .

In [1] Manickam and Singhi stated the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE MS.** *If  $d$  is a positive integer and  $f$  is a  $n$ -weight function with  $n \geq 4d$ , then  $\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ .*

Let us now reformulate Conjecture MS in a slightly different (but equivalent) way.

Let  $n \geq 1$  be fixed and  $1 \leq d \leq n$ ; we consider the following  $n$ -weight function:

$$g : \begin{array}{ccccc} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ n-1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \end{array};$$

then

$$\phi(g, d) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}.$$

This implies that

$$\psi(n, d) \leq \binom{n-1}{d-1}$$

for each integer  $d$  with  $1 \leq d \leq n$ .

Therefore we can reformulate Conjecture MS as follows.

If  $n \geq 4d$  then

$$\psi(n, d) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}.$$

In [2] Bier and Manickam proved that Conjecture MS is not true for all pairs  $(n, d)$ . They gave examples of pairs  $(n, d)$  such that  $\psi(n, d) < \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ , e.g. for  $n = 2d + 1$  with  $d \geq 2$  and for  $n = 3d + 1$  with  $d \geq 3$ .

In this paper we study Conjecture MS and we show that in an infinite number of cases it is true also if  $n \geq 2d$ .

First we show that

$$\psi(n, d) \geq 1,$$

for each integer  $d$  with  $1 \leq d \leq n$ .

Next, we prove that

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{d-1} & \text{if } r \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2} \\ \binom{n-r}{d-r} & \text{if } r \leq d < n \\ \binom{r}{d} & \text{if } d < r < n \\ \binom{n-1}{d-1} & \text{if } r = 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} r < \frac{n-d}{d-1}n \\ r > \frac{n-d}{d-1}n \end{cases}$$

In the remaining cases

- (i)  $r \geq \frac{n}{n-d}$  with  $\frac{n}{2} < d < n$  and  $2 \leq r \leq d$ ,
- (ii)  $r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$  with  $d < r < n$ ,

the problem to estimate the numbers  $\gamma(n, d, r)$  is open. It is clear that a complete knowledge of the numbers  $\psi(n, d)$  implies the resolution of Conjecture MS. Moreover, since

$$\psi(n, d) = \min\{\gamma(n, d, r) : 1 \leq r \leq n\}, \quad (1)$$

we are interested in estimating the numbers  $\gamma(n, d, r)$ . In what follows we shall denote by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  the elements of  $I_n$ ; moreover, if  $f$  is a  $n$ -weight function with  $f^+ = r$ , after a suitable reordering of the indexes we can assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  are the non-negative elements for  $f$  and  $x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n$  those negative.

## 2. THE RESULTS

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $f \in W_n(\mathbf{R})$ . If  $d$  is an integer such that  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , there exists a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ . Hence  $\psi(n, d) \geq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** We use induction. The case  $d = n$  is obviously true. Suppose the proposition holds for  $d = m \geq 2$ ; we will show that it is true for  $d = m - 1$ . Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there does not exist any subset  $Y$  of  $I_n$  of  $m - 1$  elements with  $S_f(Y) \geq 0$ .

By hypothesis there exists a subset  $Z$  of  $m$  elements with  $S_f(Z) \geq 0$ .

Let  $y \in Z$  and  $Y = Z \setminus \{y\}$ . Since

$$S_f(Z) = S_f(Y) + f(y) \quad (2)$$

and  $S_f(Y) < 0$ , by (2) we have  $f(y) > 0$ .

Since  $y$  was chosen arbitrary we have  $f(y) > 0$  for all  $y \in Z$ . In particular, any subset  $T \subseteq Z$  with  $m - 1$  elements is such that  $S_f(T) > 0$ : a contradiction. Hence, if  $d$  is an integer with  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , there always exists (at least) a subset  $Y$  of  $I_n$  of  $d$  elements with  $S_f(Y) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 1.** Let  $d, r$  be two integers with  $1 \leq d, r \leq n$ ; then

$$\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{r}{d} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq d < r \quad (i)$$

and

$$\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{n-r}{d-r} \quad \text{if } r \leq d \leq n. \quad (ii)$$

Indeed, let  $f$  be a  $n$ -weight function such that  $f^+ = r$ . Then, if  $1 \leq d \leq r$ , each subset of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  with  $d$  elements is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ ; since there exist  $\binom{r}{d}$  of such subsets, we have  $\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{r}{d}$ . Hence (i) holds. If  $d > r$ , each subset  $Y$  of  $I_n$  of the form

$$Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_r, x_{i_{r+1}}, \dots, x_{i_d}\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $i_{r+1}, \dots, i_d \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}$ , is such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_f(Y) &= f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_r) + f(x_{i_{r+1}}) + \dots + f(x_{i_d}) \\ &\geq f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_r) + f(x_{r+1}) + \dots + f(x_n) \\ &= S_f(I_n) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence every subset  $Y$  as in (3) is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ . Choosing in all possible ways the indexes  $i_{r+1}, \dots, i_d$  in  $\{r+1, \dots, n\}$ , we obtain  $\binom{n-r}{d-r}$   $(d^+, n)$ -subsets as in (3); this proves that  $\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{n-r}{d-r}$ . Hence (ii) holds.

Let us observe that the inequalities (i) and (ii) in Remark 1 also provide a different proof of Proposition 1 by virtue of (1).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $n, d, r$  be positive integers with  $r \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , then*

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}.$$

PROOF. Let  $f$  be a  $n$ -weight function having non-negative elements  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  and negative elements  $x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n$ . By Remark 1(ii) we know that  $\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{n-r}{d-r}$ , taking all  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets of  $I_n$  as in (10).

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $f(x_1) \geq f(x_2) \geq \dots \geq f(x_r) \geq 0$ .

We fix the element  $x_1$  and proceed as follows.

Let  $P = \{x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ ,  $N = \{x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n\}$  and choose an arbitrary subset  $A$  of  $P$  with  $r-2$  elements and an arbitrary subset  $B$  of  $N$  with  $d-r+1$  elements. The subset  $A \cup B \cup \{x_1\}$  has  $d$  elements and  $(P \setminus A) \cup (N \setminus B)$  has  $n-d$  elements.

If

$$S_f(A \cup B \cup \{x_1\}) < 0,$$

then (since  $f \in W_n(\mathbf{R})$ ) we have

$$S_f((P \setminus A) \cup (N \setminus B)) \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Consider now the set  $(P \setminus A) \cup (N \setminus B)$ .

$P \setminus A$  is a singleton  $\{x\}$  ( $x \neq x_1$ ) and  $N \setminus B$  has  $n-d-1$  negative elements for  $f$ .

Since  $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , we have  $n-d-1 \geq d-1$ ; therefore, if (4) holds, choosing arbitrarily  $d-1$  elements in  $N \setminus B$ , say  $y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}$ , it follows that the set

$$Y = \{x, y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}\} \quad (5)$$

is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ .

This proves that at least one of the following holds:

- (i)  $A \cup B \cup \{x_1\}$  is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ ,
- (ii) there exists (at least) a subset  $Y \subset (P \setminus A) \cup (N \setminus B)$  (of type (5)) which is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ .

Therefore for any choice of  $A \subseteq P$ ,  $B \subseteq N$ , with  $|A| = r-2$  and  $|B| = d-r+1$ , we can choose a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ , say  $D_{A,B}$ , of type (i) or (ii). We observe now that the correspondence

$$(A, B) \longrightarrow D_{A,B}$$

is injective since  $x_1 \notin P \cup N$ ; hence, proceeding in this way, we obtain

$$\binom{r-1}{r-2} \binom{n-r}{d-r+1}$$

distinct  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $f$ .

We apply the previous method again as follows.

We take a set  $A'$  containing  $r-3$  arbitrary elements of  $P$  and an arbitrary subset  $B'$  with  $d-r+2$  elements. Using the previous notation we still obtain a situation analogous to (i) and (ii), where  $P \setminus A'$  is a set with two non-negative elements  $x, \hat{x}$  and  $N \setminus B'$  has  $n-d-2$

negative elements. Since  $n - d - 2 \geq d - 2$ , choosing arbitrarily  $d - 2$  elements in  $N \setminus B'$ , say  $z_1, \dots, z_{d-2}$ , we obtain a set

$$Y = \{x, \hat{x}, z_1, \dots, z_{d-2}\} \quad (6)$$

which is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ .

It follows that at least one of the following analogues of (i) and (ii) holds:

- (i')  $A' \cup B' \cup \{x_1\}$  is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ ,
- (ii') there exists (at least) a subset  $Y \subset (P \setminus A') \cup (N \setminus B')$  (of type (6)) which is a  $(d^+, n)$ -subset for  $f$ .

As before, since  $x_1 \notin P \cup N$ , we obtain

$$\binom{r-1}{r-3} \binom{n-r}{d-r+2}$$

distinct  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $f$  and it is immediate to verify that no set of type (i') or (ii') can also be a set of type (i) or (ii).

This implies that the new  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets are all distinct from the preceding ones.

Proceeding in this way, at the  $k$ th step we obtain

$$\binom{r-1}{r-k-1} \binom{n-r}{d-r+k}$$

new  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets, all distinct from the preceding ones.

Hence we find at least

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{n-r}{d-r} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{r-1}{r-k-1} \binom{n-r}{d-r+k} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{r-1}{r-k-1} \binom{n-r}{d-r+k} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s \geq 0 \\ s+t=d-1}} \binom{r-1}{s} \binom{n-r}{t} = \binom{n-1}{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

$(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $f$ .

This proves that  $\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{n-1}{d-1}$  if  $f \in W_n(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $f^+ = r$  and  $r \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , i.e.,  $\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ . On the other hand, consider the following  $n$ -weight function:

$$g : \begin{array}{ccccccc} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r & x_{r+1} & \dots & x_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{1}{n-r} & \dots & -\frac{1}{n-r} \end{array}.$$

It is obvious that, if  $r \leq d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(g, d) &= \binom{n-r}{d-r} + \binom{r-1}{r-2} \binom{n-r}{d-r+1} + \dots + \binom{n-r}{d-1} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{d-1}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore, since  $g^+ = r$ , we have

$$\binom{n-1}{d-1} = \phi(g, d) \geq \gamma(n, d, r),$$

i.e.,

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 2. We note that if  $r = 1$  the condition  $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$  is unnecessary in Proposition 2.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $n, d, r$  be positive integers with  $r \leq d < n$  and  $r < \frac{n}{n-d}$ ; then

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{n-r}{d-r}.$$

PROOF. By Remark 1(ii) we know that  $\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{n-r}{d-r}$ .  
To obtain an upper bound for  $\gamma(n, d, r)$ , we take the function

$$g : \begin{array}{ccccccc} x_1 & \dots & x_r & x_{r+1} & \dots & x_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{1}{r} & \dots & \frac{1}{r} & -\frac{1}{n-r} & \dots & -\frac{1}{n-r} \end{array}.$$

Then  $g$  is a  $n$ -weight function with  $g^+ = r$  and the only  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $g$  are those which contain all non-negative elements  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  and arbitrary  $d - r$  negative elements. Indeed since no subset of the form

$$\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{d-k}}\},$$

where  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $j_1, \dots, j_{d-k} \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ , can be a  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $g$ . In fact, the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x_{i_1}) + \dots + g(x_{i_k}) + g(x_{j_1}) + \dots + g(x_{j_{d-k}}) \\ &= k \frac{1}{r} + (d-k) \left( -\frac{1}{n-r} \right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

with  $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ , is equivalent to

$$d > \frac{k}{r}n, \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq k \leq r-1,$$

which is satisfied for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ , since

$$d > \frac{r-1}{r}n$$

by hypothesis.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $n, d, r$  be positive integers with

$$r > \frac{d-1}{d}n \quad \text{and} \quad d < r < n;$$

then

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d}.$$

PROOF. By Remark 1(i) we have  $\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{r}{d}$ . To obtain an upper bound for  $\gamma(n, d, r)$ , consider the function

$$g : \begin{array}{ccccccc} x_1 & \dots & x_r & x_{r+1} & \dots & x_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{1}{r} & \dots & \frac{1}{r} & -\frac{1}{n-r} & \dots & -\frac{1}{n-r} \end{array}.$$

We observe that the only  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $g$  are all the subsets with  $d$  elements of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ , since no subset of the form

$$\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{d-k}}, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_k}\},$$

where  $i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}$  and  $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ , can be a  $(d^+, n)$ -subsets for  $g$ . In fact, the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x_{i_1}) + \dots + g(x_{i_{d-k}}) + g(x_{j_1}) + \dots + g(x_{j_k}) \\ &= (d-k) \frac{1}{r} + k \left( -\frac{1}{n-r} \right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to  $d < k \frac{n}{n-r}$ , which is satisfied for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$  since  $d < \frac{n}{n-r}$  by hypothesis.  $\square$

REMARK 3. If  $r > d$ , the  $n$ -weight function

$$g : \begin{array}{ccccccc} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r & x_{r+1} & \dots & x_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{1}{n-r} & \dots & -\frac{1}{n-r} \end{array}$$

has

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(g, d) &= \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r-1}{d-2} \binom{n-r}{1} + \dots + \binom{r-1}{0} \binom{n-r}{d-1} \\ &= \binom{r-1}{d} + \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{r-1}{d-k-1} \binom{n-r}{k} \\ &= \binom{r-1}{d} + \binom{n-1}{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\gamma(n, d, r) \leq \binom{r-1}{d} + \binom{n-1}{d-1}$$

if  $r > d$ . We conjecture the following result.

CONJECTURE 1. If  $d < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$  and  $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$  then

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r-1}{d} + \binom{n-1}{d-1}.$$

Actually this conjecture has been proved by J. van Bon when  $d = 2$  (private communication).

Finally let us note how the previous result and the truth of Conjecture 1 are related to Conjecture MS.

PROPOSITION 5. The truth of Conjecture 1 implies Conjecture MS.

PROOF. We can assume that  $n \geq 4d$ ; therefore  $d \leq \frac{n}{4} \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

If  $r \leq d$ , by Proposition 2 we have  $\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ .

If  $d < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$ , by Conjecture 1 it follows that  $\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r-1}{d} + \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ .

If  $d < r < n$  and  $r > \frac{d-1}{d}n$ , by Proposition 4 we have

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d} \geq \binom{\frac{d-1}{d}n}{d}. \quad (7)$$

Now, if  $d$  is an integer  $\geq 3$  and  $x$  is a real number  $\geq 4$ , by elementary calculus it results that

$$\binom{(d-1)x}{d} > \binom{dx-1}{d-1}. \quad (8)$$

Hence, if in (7) we take  $d \geq 3$  and  $x = \frac{n}{d}$ , by (8) we obtain  $\gamma(n, d, r) > \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ .

If  $2 = d < r < n$  and  $r > \frac{d-1}{d}n = \frac{n}{2}$ , we have

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{2} \geq \begin{cases} \binom{\frac{n+2}{2}}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n+2)}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n^2-1}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \geq \binom{n-1}{2-1} = n-1$$

when  $n \geq 6$  (and by our hypothesis  $n \geq 4d = 8$ ).

Finally if  $1 = d < r < n$ ,  $\binom{r}{d} = r$  and  $\binom{n-1}{d-1} = 1$ .

Therefore if Conjecture 1 is true and if  $n \geq 4d$ , these results show that  $\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{n-1}{d-1}$  for each integer  $r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . By (1) we obtain then  $\psi(n, d) \geq \binom{n-1}{d-1}$ .

Hence also Conjecture MS is true.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank R Dvornicich for suggesting the problem and for his comments, J van Bon for many useful remarks and the referee for suggesting Proposition 5.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Manickam and N. M. Singhi, First distribution invariants and EKR theorems, *J. Comb. Theory, Series A*, **48** (1988), 91–103.
2. T. Bier and N. Manickam, The first distribution invariant of the Johnson-scheme, *SEAMS Bull. Math.*, **11** (1987), 61–68.

Received 22 February 2000 and accepted 7 November 2000

GIAMPIERO CHIASELOTTI  
Dipartimento di Matematica,  
Università della Calabria,  
87036 Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza),  
Italy  
E-mail: chiaselotti@unical.it